Rule 309.e. CPW Consultations

What's changed? What's the same?











Basic concepts are still the same...

- The consultation process should not change significantly for operators who've successfully worked with CPW in the past
- Early communication is key
- Pre-consultations are not required, but highly beneficial
 - Saves time & efficiency when submitting permit applications
 - Potentially saves money (review prior to staking & surveys)
 - Can result in waiver agreements with CPW
 - ALA discussions regarding best location for proposed development

















Specific Changes



- <u>Wildlife Protection Plan (WPP)</u> new concept, but simple document outlining adherence or exceptions to statewide operating requirements (1202.a.)
- Wildlife Mitigation Plan (WMP) Old concept, but can now apply to a single location, and is required within High Priority Habitats (will be dynamic based on circumstances).
- <u>Consultation Timeline</u> CPW consultation timeline is now 60 days from time that CPW receives the permit application

Things to Consider...

- What HPH layers are present in my area of operations?
- Are all the appropriate parties involved in the early communications?
- Can I include multiple potential locations in a larger landscapescale Wildlife Mitigation Plan?
- Can I utilize existing infrastructure to minimize disturbance and impacts?
- How can I develop the resource and minimize the density of facilities?
- Etc. etc.



Thanks!

